

Intraseasonal TC Variability and Seasonal Hurricane Forecasting

2019 WMO Class

Eric Blake

Hurricane Specialist


National Hurricane Center



5/7/2019

Outline

- Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO)
- MJO analysis tools
- Kelvin Waves
- Seasonal forecasting
- Exercise
- Brief look at 2019

No Storm
Formations in 2008



 Hurricanes and Tropical Storms
 Hurricanes

NOAA

Madden-Julian Oscillation


- Discovered in the early 1970s by Roland Madden and Paul Julian.
- An eastward propagating wave that circles the globe in about 30-60 days involving tropical convection.
- Detected in the Outgoing Longwave Radiation (OLR) and wind fields across the tropics.
- Later papers showed that it is an important modulator of TC activity, especially in the Pacific Ocean.


-Idealized Diagram of the 40-50 day Tropical Intraseasonal Oscillation

-Became known as the Madden-Julian Oscillation in the late 1980s

-Generally forms over the Indian Ocean, strengthens over the Pacific Ocean and weakens due to interaction with South America and cooler eastern Pacific SSTs

(Madden and Julian 1972)






Rui and Wang (1990)

CFSv2 850 hPa Zonal Wind Anomaly (kt) from 06z06May2019 to 06z13May2019 (Days 8-14)

Average of last 46 forecasts (11 runs x 4 members)

Init: 15z Apr 26 2019 through 06z Apr 29 2019

TROPICALTIDBITS.COM




200 mb Velocity Potential fields—
one way to track the MJO

Blue= divergence

Red= convergence


Center of the blue area
tracks the most upper
divergence, which is
usually well-linked to
thunderstorms



200-hPa Velocity Potential Anomaly: 5°N–5°S

5-day Running Mean

Period-Mean Removed




Time-longitude sections of anomalous 200-hPa velocity potential ($\times 10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) averaged between 5°N–5°S for the last 180 days ending 05 MAR 2012: (Left) 5-day running means and (Right) 5-day running means with period mean removed. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 period daily means. CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER/NCEP

MJO characteristics


Note signal is much stronger in eastern Hemisphere than western

Eastward phase speed is a lot slower in eastern than western Hemi (convective coupling)

In western hemisphere, upper-level signal usually much easier to track than lower-level



10-day ECMWF MJO Forecast



http://www2.nhc.noaa.gov/~demo/waves/p_hp_files/ecmwf_cckw_mjo_tdf_plan.php



MJO Effects in the Atlantic Basin

- The MJO can lose much of its strength before entering the Atlantic basin.
- In addition, the MJO is weakest during the late summer, near the peak of Atlantic activity.
- Western part of the basin most strongly affected (Maloney and Hartmann 2000).



Active MJO EOF and corresponding TS and H tracks

Active MJO in the western Caribbean Sea and Gulf of Mexico produces more storms due to:


- Increase in low-level convergence (ITCZ moves farther north)
- Low-level vorticity is also increased due to westerly low-level flow meeting easterly trades
- Upper divergence is stronger than average during the westerly phase, with a drop in shear as well



Inactive MJO EOF and corresponding TS and H tracks




Adapted from Maloney and Hartmann (2000)



- Most genesis points are near or behind the upper-level divergence center.

Figure 10: Velocity potential composites for different phases of the MJO cycle with hurricane/typhoon origin locations. Green shading indicates upper level divergence and brown shading indicates upper level convergence. Open circles indicate hurricane/typhoon origin centers.


A different way to visualize the MJO



- The axes (RMM1 and RMM2) represent daily values of the principal components from the two leading modes, following the active convection.
- The triangular areas indicate the location of the enhanced phase of the MJO
- Counter-clockwise motion is indicative of eastward propagation
- Distance from the origin is proportional to MJO strength
- Line colors distinguish different months



VT: 2019050600
IT: 2019050600 +0h

unfiltered 200 hPa VP anomaly [$10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$] (shading)
unfiltered 200 hPa VP standardized anomaly [$\pm 1\sigma$] (contours)





ECMWF

MJO filtered 200 hPa VP anomaly [$10^6 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$]
std anomaly of MJO filtered 200 hPa VP anomaly [$\pm 0.5\sigma$] (contours)




Current MJO: Plan view versus RMM diagram






Phase 2

200 mb Velocity Potential fields—
one way to track the MJO




Phase 3

Blue= ~divergence




Phase 4

Red= ~convergence




Phase 5


Center of the blue area
tracks the most upper
divergence, which is
usually well-linked to
thunderstorms




Phase 6



Phase 7



Phase 8




Phase 1

-4.5 -3.5 -2.5 -1.5 -0.5 0.5 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5

Question 1

What phases of the MJO are most favorable for Atlantic TC activity?

- A. Phases 3/4
- B. Phases 5/6
- C. Phases 7/8
- D. Phases 1/2



Normalized Activity by MJO Phase (1974-2007)

| MJO Phase | NS | NSD | H | HD | MH | MHD | ACE |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|------------|-------------|
| Phase 1 | 2.7 | 22.9 | 2.3 | 13.5 | 1.4 | 4.9 | 57.5 |
| Phase 2 | 3.0 | 24.7 | 2.5 | 13.2 | 1.8 | 4.2 | 53.0 |
| Phase 3 | 2.6 | 19.8 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 0.9 | 2.1 | 41.4 |
| Phase 4 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 1.1 | 8.1 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 32.0 |
| Phase 5 | 2.7 | 14.8 | 1.6 | 6.3 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 35.7 |
| <i>Phase 6</i> | <i>2.6</i> | <i>13.1</i> | <i>1.2</i> | <i>3.9</i> | <i>0.6</i> | <i>0.9</i> | <i>20.3</i> |
| <i>Phase 7</i> | <i>1.6</i> | <i>9.4</i> | <i>0.6</i> | <i>3.7</i> | <i>0.5</i> | <i>1.1</i> | <i>17.5</i> |
| Phase 8 | 1.9 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 1.9 | 25.3 |
| | | | | | | | |
| Ratio of Phases 1+2 to Phases 6+7 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 4.6 | 2.9 |


From Klotzbach (2010)

850-hPa Vector Wind Anomalies (m s^{-1})

Note that shading denotes the zonal wind anomaly

Blue shades: Easterly anomalies


Red shades: Westerly anomalies




Typical Active Atlantic pattern (if in summer-time)!

All Genesis Points

MJO Phases 1+2




MJO Phases 6+7




36 Major Hurricanes

MJO Phases 1-2 - Atlantic Major Hurricane Formations




13 Major Hurricanes

MJO Phases 6-7 - Atlantic Major Hurricane Formations



10 Hurricane Landfalls

MJO Phase 2



1 Hurricane Landfall

MJO Phase 7



Kelvin Waves & Tropical Cyclones

A satellite image of a tropical cyclone, likely Hurricane Charley, over the Gulf of Mexico. The cyclone features a well-defined eye and a dense, swirling cloud structure. The surrounding ocean is dark blue, and the landmasses of North and Central America are visible in shades of green and brown.

Adapted from: Michael Ventrice (TWC), Kyle Griffin (UW) & Carl Schreck (NCICS)


Background

The idea of equatorial waves interacting with TCs is relatively new...


- An objective method of tracking equatorial waves in real-time wasn't published until 1999
- First AMS papers mentioning (atmospheric) equatorial waves and TCs appeared around 2002
- Number of papers that involve this or similar topics in AMS journals only number in the ~2 dozen range

Equatorial waves aid in *enhanced* predictability of TC genesis several (3-7) days into the future.

Kelvin Waves



Matsuno (1966)



Kiladis et al. (2009)

- Alternating westerlies and easterlies on the equator
- Enhanced convection where low-level winds converge
- Active phase associated with **latent heating** & the generation of **low-level relative vorticity** due to presence of meridional flow
- Modifies ITCZ convection, which causes significant changes to a system's local environment

| | |
|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Propagation: | Eastward |
| Phase speed: | $10\text{--}20 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ |
| Period: | 3–10 days |
| Wavelength: | 2000–4000 km |

Adapted from Carl Schreck 2017

MJO vs. KW

The **Madden-Julian Oscillation** (MJO) consists of an active and suppressed phase, dominated by low-level westerly and easterly anomalies, respectively. Convection is preferred in the active phase.


- A typical MJO moves eastward at 4 to 8 m s⁻¹ with a zonal extent that spans planetary to synoptic scales.

A **Kelvin wave** is spatially very similar to the MJO, but is typically observed at higher zonal wavenumbers and moves eastward at 10 – 20 m s⁻¹.

- Effects are more constrained within the Tropics and associated wind anomalies are spatially smaller than the MJO.

Tropical wave + CCKW composite


East Pacific: 40 storms



- Composite Hovmöllers of storms forming at the most favorable lags (2-3d) from Kelvin wave crest
- The wave is invigorated with convection/rainfall, leading to genesis.
- CCKW most effective when some westerly flow already present

Kelvin Waves, MJO and Tropical Cyclogenesis

- Storms typically form 0–3 days after the Kelvin wave's convective peak
- Easterly wave amplifies in the Kelvin wave/MJO convective envelope
- Timing of genesis can be strongly influenced by the Kelvin Wave in positive MJO




Schreck (2015, MWR)

Background



Vertical Structure

East Pacific Zonal Wind Eq-10°N



- Convection and storm-relative westerlies intersect easterly wave 2 days before genesis
- Easterly wave circulation builds upward as the Kelvin wave propagates
- Kelvin tilt might explain lag in genesis from convection
 - 400-hPa is 30° longitude behind 850-hPa
 - Kelvin speed of 15 m s⁻¹ gives a 2.5-day lag between 850 hPa and 400 hPa


Atlantic CCKWs and genesis




Tropical cyclogenesis events over the MDR (5-25°N, 15-65°W) relative to the CCKW during June-September 1979-2009

- Day 0 highlights the transition to statistically significant negative unfiltered OLR anomalies, or the eastern-most side of the convectively active phase of the CCKW.
- Error bars indicate the 95% confidence interval.


Atlantic CCKWs and genesis



Tropical cyclogenesis
relative to the Kelvin
wave




10-day ECMWF forecast of CCKWs




“Yet another strong CCKW is moving across the eastern Pacific...This system should move through the eastern Pacific within the next few days, with genesis possible in the far eastern Pacific Days 3-5.”

Ana & Trudy form



Oct-08-2014 00:00UTC
2014 281
GOES-15



Ana

Trudy

Operational challenges

- Real-world CCKWs have day-to-day weather patterns overlaid on them, making them harder to recognize.
- When making genesis forecasts for a particular system, any CCKW information must be taken in context with the entire weather situation.
- Knowledge about the base state (~120 d mean or ENSO), MJO phase, climatology and numerical weather models must all be considered in concert with CCKW interactions.
- For example, if the base state is extremely unfavorable, can it overcome other enhancing factors? (e.g. most of the 2014 Atlantic hurricane season, 2015 EPac is the counter example)

Current NHC practices

- No operational standard on use of CCKW in genesis forecasts (about half of forecasters use it).
- It is believed that global models handle the MJO much more accurately than individual CCKWs (too much dampening), and thus the forecaster can add value to the deterministic models.
- Any adjustments to 5-day genesis probabilities are small and subjectively determined.
- Also used as a way to increase forecaster confidence in a given situation if conceptual model of CCKWs and genesis matches model solutions.

Operational long-range TC forecasts


- CPC, in combination with other NOAA/federal/university partners, issues a week 1 and week 2 possible TC risk areas (in addition to other global hazards)
- These global forecasts are released Tuesday afternoons
- The TC-only forecasts are updated on Friday afternoons, if necessary, for the Atlantic/E Pacific only during week 1/2




Global Tropics Hazards and Benefits Outlook - Climate Prediction Center













Week 1 - Valid: Sep 21, 2016 - Sep 27, 2016



Week 2 - Valid: Sep 28, 2016 - Oct 04, 2016



Confidence
High Moderate

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Tropical Cyclone Formation |   | Development of a tropical cyclone (tropical depression - TD, or greater strength). |
| Above-average rainfall |   | Weekly total rainfall in the upper third of the historical range. |
| Below-average rainfall |   | Weekly total rainfall in the lower third of the historical range. |
| Above-normal temperatures |   | 7-day mean temperatures in the upper third of the historical range. |
| Below-normal temperatures |   | 7-day mean temperatures in the lower third of the historical range. |

Produced: 09/20/2016

Forecaster: Rosencrans

Product is updated once per week, except from 6/1 - 11/30 for the region from 120E to 0, 0 to 40N. The product targets broad scale conditions integrated over a 7-day period for US interests only. Consult your local responsible forecast agency.

Seasonal Forecasting

Seasonal Forecasting is more than this!



Short history of NOAA seasonal hurricane forecasting


- The Climate Prediction Center (CPC) began issuing Atlantic seasonal hurricane forecasts after the Gray 1997 forecast bust.
- Outlooks issued in late May and early August.
- Collaborative effort between the CPC, National Hurricane Center and Hurricane Research Division.
- Outlooks are a qualitative combination of statistical and dynamical tools, but have become more quantitative over time.

El Niño


- Changes global atmospheric circulation by altering low-latitude deep convection.
- Moderate/strong events generally cause a reduced Atlantic season
- Weaker events have little relationship to Atlantic hurricane activity

Composite of tropical cyclone tracks during 14 moderate to strong El Niño years versus the next year

El Niño Years




Year after El Niño




From Gray 1984

Niño regions




Niño 3.4 region generally has the strongest relationship with Atlantic hurricane activity.

El Niño versus La Niña




Convection shifted eastward during El Niño causes more shear and sinking air over the Atlantic.




Convection shifted westward during La Niña causes less sinking air and shear over the Atlantic.

El Niño




La Niña




Vertical Wind Shear

- Tropical cyclones generally require low vertical wind shear to develop, less than about 20 mph.
- Early-season vertical shear (June-July) relates well to August-October shear (peak season).
- Since 90% of the season is usually after 1 August, useful to update then.




200 mb
(~40,000 ft)

Climatology
is for lots of
shear during
hurricane
season




850 mb
(~5,000 ft)

200mb zonal wind anomalies (m/s) during June-July of 10 ENSO events.



El Niño




La Niña




Sea-Surface Temperatures (SSTs)

- Warmer Atlantic waters generally mean a more active hurricane season
- Relative warmth of Atlantic to global tropics also important
- Atlantic warmth linked to Atlantic surface ridge strength

Correlation between Atlantic SST and Atlantic Hurricane Activity




Composite map of June-July SST anomalies during 10 active hurricane seasons




The Atlantic Meridional Mode: SST, wind, and precip anom

- Leading mode of basin-wide ocean-atmosphere interaction between SST and low-level winds
- Amplifies via the **wind-evaporation-SST (WES)** feedback mechanism
- Strongest signal during the spring, but persists into hurricane season




Comparative effects of the AMM (local) and ENSO (remote) on vertical wind shear in the Atlantic


a. AMM



b. Nino 3.4




units: m/s per
standard deviation



Shear regressed onto AMM and N34 indices, and correlations between the indices and storm activity.

Forcing the AMM

SLP anomaly associated with (+) NAO




1. Subtropical SLP anomalies associated with NAO
2. Cool SST through enhanced evaporation (stronger easterlies)
3. Atmosphere responds through anticyclonic circulation, reinforcing wind anomalies → (-) AMM
4. Resulting feedback can last for several months, even after NAO forcing subsides


[FLIP sign for (-) NAO]

Courtesy Dima Smirnov ESRL

Mid-latitudes in winter/spring can have an impact on the next hurricane season



- 1) Negative NAO/AO in winter/spring (could be preceded by a stratospheric warming event), leads to weak Atlantic trade winds.
- 2) Weak trades excite a positive AMM for the summer, leading to warmer-than-average waters and favorable low-level winds for genesis.



CFS version 2

- 1. An atmosphere at high horizontal resolution (spectral T574, ~27 km) and high vertical resolution (64 sigma-pressure hybrid levels) for the real time analysis**
- 2. An atmosphere of T126L64 for the real time forecasts**
- 3. An interactive ocean with 40 levels in the vertical, to a depth of 4737 m, and horizontal resolution of 0.25 degree at the tropics, tapering to a global resolution of 0.5 degree northwards and southwards of 10N and 10S respectively**
- 4. An interactive 3 layer sea-ice model**
- 5. An interactive land model with 4 soil levels**

CFS-based TS, Hurricanes and ACE Index Forecast Atlantic Basin– May forecast

2012
Slightly Above Normal
Year


| | Tropical Storms | Hurricanes | ACE Index % of Median |
|-----|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| 402 | 14 | 4 | 132 |
| 403 | 15 | 5 | 131 |
| 404 | 11 | 2 | 94 |
| 405 | 11 | 2 | 132 |
| 406 | 10 | 3 | 72 |
| 407 | 9 | 3 | 106 |
| 408 | 15 | 5 | 131 |
| 409 | 14 | 2 | 84 |
| 410 | 11 | 4 | 88 |
| 411 | 13 | 6 | 184 |
| 412 | 11 | 0 | 77 |
| 413 | 14 | 7 | 166 |
| 414 | 16 | 8 | 185 |
| 415 | | | |
| 416 | | | |
| 417 | | | |
| 418 | | | |

| | Tropical Storms | Hurricanes | ACE Index % of Median |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Ensemble | 12.6 | 3.9 | 121.6 |
| Standard Deviation | 2.2 | 2.3 | 39.0 |
| Range | 10-15 | 2-6 | 83-161 |
| Model Clim | 10.6 | 3.8 | 85.4 |


Tropical Cyclone Storm Tracks in the Atlantic Region

CFS_07 T382, 2012


IC=0402 (14 Storms)




IC=0403 (15 Storms)




IC=0404 (11 Storms)




IC=0405 (11 Storms)



IC=0406 (10 Storms)



IC=0407 (9 Storms)



Seasonal Forecast Caveats:

- 1) Even with perfect knowledge of all predictors – only 50-60% of the variance in TC activity is explained. This could increase as dynamical model skill grows.
- 2) This make a 1-category forecast error possible in 1 out of 3 or 4 years, and a 2-category error in 1 in ~7 years.
- 3) In seasonal forecasting, you will be flat wrong some years despite your best efforts. 2013 is a prime example.

Model Forecast Summary: 2013 Atlantic Outlook

Model predicted ranges ($\pm 1 \sigma$) and mean activity (in parenthesis). The model averages (yellow) and NOAA's outlook (Red) are shown at bottom.

| | | Model | Named Storms | Hurricanes | Major Hurricanes | ACE (% Median) |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|
| Statistical | { | CPC Regression: | 14-18 (16) | 7-9 (8) | 3-4.5 (3.75) | 140-170 (155) |
| | | CPC Binning : Nino 3.4+SSTA | 7.9-21.5 (14.7) | 4.2-11.5 (7.85) | 2.1-5.9 (4) | 69-217 (143) |
| | | CPC Binning ENSO+SSTA | 10.1-21 (15.55) | 5.2-11.7 (8.45) | 2.8-5.9 (4.35) | 106-229 (167) |
| CFS | { | CFS: Hi-Res T-382 | 13.4-19.4 (16.4) | 5.2-11.2 (8.2) | | 111-199 (155) |
| | | CFS-V2 T126: 1 | 12-16 (14) | 6-9 (7.5) | 3-4 (3.5) | 112-168 (140) |
| | | CFS-V2 T126: 2 | 13-17 (15) | 7-10 (8.5) | 3-4 (3.5) | 121-182 (152) |
| | | CFS-V2 T126: 3 | 13-17 (15) | 6-10 (8) | 3-4 (3.5) | 119-184 (152) |
| European | { | ECMWF: | 8.9-16.3 (12.6) | 5.5-10.5 (8) | | 90-167 (128) |
| | | EUROSIP: | 7.6-14.4 (11) | | | |
| | | Guidance Mean | 11.1-17.8 (14.5) | 5.8-10.4 (8.1) | 2.8-4.7 (3.8) | 108-190 (149) |
| | | NOAA Outlook | 13-20 (16.5) | 6-11 (8.5) | 3-6 (4.5) | 120-205 (163) |
| | | Actual: | 14 | 2 | 0 | 39 |

NOAA Forecast Methodology


- 1) Assess states of the ocean and atmosphere.
- 2) Use model forecasts for El Niño/Atlantic SSTs and incorporate any analog techniques and dynamical model forecasts of TCs.
- 3) Predict range of overall activity and probabilities of above-, near-, and below-average seasons.
- 4) Qualitative/Quantitative process.
- 5) **No forecast of hurricane landfalls, just the total seasonal activity for the entire basin.**

Why issue a seasonal hurricane outlook then?

- One of the top questions NOAA gets in the offseason is “What’s the season going to be like?”
- Large amount of media coverage makes it ideal to get the preparedness/awareness message out, even if most people can’t use the forecast.
- Gets people thinking about the upcoming hurricane season/activity.
- Specialized users (reinsurance companies, offshore interests etc.)



Percent of Correctly Forecasted Parameters




For both the May (Blue) and August (Red) outlooks, large skill improvements are seen since 2008 for all predicted parameters except Season Classification,.

Exercise

- Using what you have been taught about seasonal forecasting, make a seasonal forecast with the atmospheric and oceanic slides in the following slides.
- Please forecast ranges of activity for tropical storms, hurricanes, major hurricanes and ACE.
- Remember long term averages are 12 TS, 6 H, 3 MH and ACE ~ 100
- What are the expected climate conditions for hurricane season? How will these conditions affect your forecast?




Pacific SST Anomalies During Last 30 days





Heat Content Anomalies (°C) in the Equatorial Pacific




Equatorial oceanic Kelvin waves are indicated by dashed black lines.




Recent Tropical Pacific SSTA Evolution

The latest weekly SST departures are:

| | |
|----------|--------|
| Niño 4 | 0.2°C |
| Niño 3.4 | -0.2°C |
| Niño 3 | -0.3°C |
| Niño 1+2 | -0.6°C |



SSTs are slightly above average in the central equatorial Pacific (Niño 4 region) and slightly below average across the east-central equatorial Pacific (Niño 3.4 and Niño 3 regions).






Sub-Surface Temperature Departures (°C) in the Equatorial Pacific




Most recent monthly analysis






850-hPa Zonal Wind Anomalies in the Equatorial Pacific






Anomalous OLR

Last 3 Weeks






ENSO Forecasts






ENSO Forecast Plume from mid-April





CPC/ IRI ENSO Probability Forecast (issued in early April)





CFS Predictions






Model SSTA Forecasts




CFS-Hi Res (T-382)


June-August




Aug-Oct



NMME Predictions for ASO



CFS-V2 Low-Res (T-128) for ASO





CFS-Hi-Res Hurricane Forecast (bias corrected)



CFS Forecast

| | Tropical Storms | Hurricanes | ACE Index (% of Median) |
|--------------------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Ensemble | 11.9 | 5.0 | 81.2 |
| Standard Deviation | 2.3 | 1.5 | 21.5 |
| Range | 10 – 14 | 4 – 6 | 60 – 103 |
| Obs Clim | 12.1 | 6.4 | 100 |

Regression Forecast Based on the CFS SSTA predictions (ONI, MDR, and MDR minus Tropics)

| | Tropical Storms | Hurricanes | ACE Index (% of Median) |
|------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Mean | 10 | 5.0 | 72 |

Regression Forecast based on the CFS predicted ONI and SSTA=0C in both MDR and Tropics)


| | Tropical Storms | Hurricanes | ACE Index (% of Median) |
|------|-----------------|------------|----------------------------|
| Mean | 13 | 7.0 | 117 |

Low-level Circulation

Last 45 Days




Sea-level Pressure (contours) and Anomalies (shading)




Sea-level Pressure (contours) and anomalies (shading):
45-Day average. Contour interval is 1 hPa.
Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 period monthly means. NOAA CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER/NCEP

Sea-level Anomalous Wind Speed (shading) and Vector



1000-hPa wind speed (shading, m s^{-1}) and vector: 45-Day average.
(Top) Total and (Bottom) Anomalies. Vector scales are below plots. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 period monthly means. NOAA CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER/NCEP

700-hPa Anomalous Wind Speed (shading) and Vector



700-hPa wind speed (shading, m s^{-1}) and vector: 45-Day average.
(Top) Total and (Bottom) Anomalies. Vector scales are below plots. Anomalies are departures from the 1981–2010 period monthly means. NOAA CLIMATE PREDICTION CENTER/NCEP



Atlantic Model Forecast Summary



| Model | Named Storms | Hurricanes | Major Hurricanes | ACE (% Median) |
|--|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| CPC Regression: Nino 3.4 (-0.5 to 0.5C) MDR SSTA (-0.1 to 0.4C) MDR-Trop (-0.15 to 0.15C) | 10.7-15.2 (12.95) | 5.6-9.4 (7.5) | 2.2-4 (3.1) | 95-179 (137) |
| CPC Binning: Nino 3.4 (-0.5 to 0.5C) MDR SSTA (-0.1 to 0.4C) MDR-Trop (-0.15 to 0.15C) | 9-15.7 (12.4) | 5.3-8.3 (6.8) | 0.7-3.7 (2.2) | 54-139 (96) |
| CFS: Hi-Res | 10-14 (12) | 4-6 (5) | | 60-103 (82) |
| CFS: Hi-Res (SSTA bias adjusted) | 10.9-14.9 (12.9) | 6-8 (7) | | 101-144 (122) |
| CFS-V2 T128 | 12-15 (13.5) | 6-8 (7) | 3-4 (3.5) | 106-159 (133) |
| NMME | 11-15 (13) | 6-8 (7) | 3-4 (3.5) | 116-163 (140) |
| ECMWF: | 7.1-12.9 (10) | 2.8-7.6 (5.2) | | 64.8-116.6 (91) |
| UKMET | 6-14 (10) | 3-7 (5) | | 56-124 (90) |
| Guidance Mean | 9.6-14.6 (12.1) | 4.8-7.8 (6.3) | 2.2-3.9 (3.1) | 81-141 (111) |

Predicts weak La Niña
Too cold in MDR?



Regression uses CFS Hi-Res ONI
and assumes predicted SSTA = 0
in both MDR and global Tropics

Predicts weak El Niño
Predicts ENSO-Neutral,
Average SSTs in MDR

Question


What ACE did you predict for the exercise?


A. Under 70

B. 70-100

C. 101-130

D. Over 130



A satellite image of a hurricane, likely Hurricane Charley, over the Gulf of Mexico. The hurricane has a well-defined eye and a dense, swirling cloud structure. The surrounding ocean is dark blue, and the landmasses of North and Central America are visible in shades of green and brown. The text "What about 2019?" is overlaid in yellow.


What about 2019?

Current Global SST anomalies


CDAS Sea Surface Temperature Anomaly ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) (based on CFSR 1981-2010 Climatology)

Analysis Time: 06z May 06 2019


TROPICALTIDBITS.COM



Weak El Niño in place



Thermocline- mixed bag




CFS forecasts maintenance of El Niño



NWS/NCEP/CPC

Last update: Mon May 6 2019
Initial conditions: 26Apr2019–5May2019


CFSv2 forecast Nino3.4 SST anomalies (K) (PDF&Spread corrected)



(Model bias correct base period: 1999–2010; Climatology base period: 1982–2010)


NINO3.4 SST anomaly plume ECMWF forecast from 1 May 2019

Monthly mean anomalies relative to NCEP OIv2 1981-2010 climatology




Most ECMWF members also show El Niño

Niño models aren't very good though!



Model Predictions of ENSO from Apr 2019



- Most show El Niño or neutral!

CFS ASO Seasonal Forecasts from May 5

SST (warm Atlantic,
warm ENSO)




CFSv2 Sea Surface Temperature Anomaly ($^{\circ}\text{C}$) (based on 1984-2009 Model Climatology)

Average of last 12 forecasts (12 runs x 1 members)

Init: 00z May 03 2019 through 18z May 05 2019

Valid for: Aug-Sep-Oct 2019

TROPICALTIDBITS.COM





CFSv2 850-200 hPa Bulk Wind Shear (kt, contour) and Anomaly (kt, shaded/vector)

Average of last 12 forecasts (12 runs x 1 members)

Init: 00z May 03 2019 through 18z May 05 2019

TROPICALTIDBITS.COM



Vertical Shear (higher than
normal)

ECMWF ASO SST forecast

ECMWF Seasonal Forecast


Mean forecast SST anomaly

Forecast start is 01/05/19, climate period is 1993-2016

Ensemble size = 51, climate size = 600

System 5

ASO 2019



Both CFS/EC disagree on warmth of Atlantic, not as strong of a Niño either

Conclusions

- The MJO and Kelvin waves modulate TC activity around the globe.
- El Niño/La Niña conditions are probably the most important factor in a seasonal forecast.
- Tropical Atlantic Ocean water temperatures and multi-decadal cycles are also very important.
- There are also year-to-year differences in vertical wind shear, sea-level pressures, and global circulation changes during the early part of the season that may give clues to how the rest of the season may turn out.
- Less active 2019 ahead?