

TC Intensity analysis b. other methods



BoM





Bureau of Meteorology

Hurricane Ida 4 - 10 November 2009 140 BEST TRACK 130 Sat (TAFB) Sat (SAB) 120 ADT Ħ AC (sfc) ∇ 110 AC (flt>sfc) AC (DVK P>W) Ο 100 Drop (LLM xtrp) AMSU 90 80

TCs fluctuate intensity

Wind Speed (kt) 70 60 50 40 П 30 land 20 11/211/6 11/8 11/10 11/12 11/4 Image: NHC, NOAA Date (Month/Day) http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/pdf/TCR-AL112009 Ida.pdf

⊞





Surface Observations

"Standard exposure AWS observed winds (10min) give a minimum maximum wind" Examples: George

Bedout Is 105 knots

Hourly sampling

Dvorak: T6.5

Vmax = 110kn







Surface Observations

Knowledge of site exposure is required: Hayman Is (on a hill at 59m elevation) reported 93 kn from SE during Ului – slope reduction factor ~30% *ref Craig Miller* (cf Vmax=85kn in BT)







Surface Observations

Ships: What to do with a 40kn observation?? Ships: ~10 % enhancement structure & reduction to 10m BUT considerable variation in quality : correction for motion? Ref: http://icoads.noaa.gov/kiel/Kiel.Taylor.pdf ftp://ftp.wmo.int/Documents/PublicWeb/amp/mmop/documents/JCOMM-TR/J-TR-10-CLIMAR-99/Presentations/session_02/02.1_Taylor.pdf

Use caution! Check consistency, verify against scat









Scatterometry: ASCAT

- useful for <55 knot intensity range
- Esp at tropical low stage when other measures don't work so well.
- good for structure wind radii,

TC Ian 0949UTC 8/1/2014 ASCAT Vmax=50 knots Max wind likely 50-55 kn



http://manati.orbit.nesdis.noaa.gov/ascat/







Strongest pattern would look like this

Giovanna 13 Feb 2012

> 100 kn intensity



ore GMT 2)Times along bottom correspond to measurement at frer is 22 hrs from Feb 14 02:14 UTC 2012 4) Black circles india NOAA/





WindSat

Very sensitive to rain so useless for central TC region

Useful for gale estimates in convection free areas





Objective Techniques Satellite Consensus (SATCON)







Advanced Dvorak Technique ADT

Uses IR imagery to assess eye temperature, curvature and cloud region temperature.

Logic based on the subjective Dvorak Method

Linear regression scheme developed by matching recon MSLP estimates to important IR parameters.

Each TC image is classified according to "scene type" which drives the logic structure leading to the intensity estimate

CIMSS: <u>http://tropic.ssec.wisc.edu/real-time/adt/adt.html</u> NESDIS: <u>http://www.ssd.noaa.gov/PS/TROP/adt.html</u>

Version 8.2.1 current as of July 2017





ADT - performance

Known error characteristics are useful Larger errors can result if position is in error! Eg no eye pattern because algorithm can't resolve centre.



Example errors as a function of scene type



Microwave Intensity

AMSU and SSMIS

Microwave sounder which includes channels for measuring brightness temperatures (Tb) at 550-150 hPa layer.





http://amsu.ssec.wisc.edu/explanation.html



AMSU Intensity: Issues

Underestimates when TC near the edge of the swathe and for small eyes (corrections applied) Overestimates for weak system Rain contamination esp





VISU Scanning Geometry and Resolution



NWP: structure and sometimes intensity

TC Stan 00UTC 30/1/2016 T4.0 => 55kn Rowley Shoals 49kn (point x) close to max winds

Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology





14/16



Intensity Summary



COMET module: <u>https://www.meted.ucar.edu/training_module.php?id=1083</u> Intensity exercises: <u>https://bmtc.moodle.com.au/course/view.php?id=107</u>



Intensity Questions

ASCAT is most useful for intensity estimates at the range of : A. 25-35kn B. 25-50kn C. 25-75kn D. 50-75kn E. >75kn

2. SATCON has the following benefits (select all that apply).

- a. Automatic hourly updates 24h/day
- b. Uses IR, Vis and microwave imagery
- c. Objective method with known errors/bias
- d. Better than human (subjective) analysis

3. True or False "Your final intensity estimate should consider all available inputs and weight each according to the situation".